## Key Distinctions in Charter School Bills and Current Law

	Current Law	Senate Bill 8 -	Senate Bill 8-	House Bill 247
		Senate Version (2/24/11)	House Version (4/7/11)	
Composition of	Determined by State	2 by Governor	4 by Governor	2 by Governor
Commission	Board	4 by Senate Pres.	4 by Senate Pres.	4 by Senate Pres.
		4 by House Speaker	4 by House Speaker	4 by House Speaker
		State Superintendent;	State Superintendent;	1 by State Superintendent;
		Appointments by House and	Appointments by House and	Appointments by Governor,
		Senate must include	Senate must include	Senate, and House must
		representative of charter	representative of charter	include representatives of
		school community; all must	school community; all must	charter schools, traditional
		have demonstrated	have demonstrated	public schools, other
		commitment to charter	commitment to charter schools	constituent communities such
		schools		as parents or high education,
				or other individuals who have
				demonstrated commitment to
A .1 1. CC	0 11 1	V		public education
Authority of State	Constitutional	Veto of Commission decision	Can accept or reject	Can accept or reject with
Board	authority for	by a ¾ majority vote	recommendations of	specificity any initial
	establishing rules and		Commission; must give specific	recommendation; has final
	making decisions		reasons for rejection; cannot	authority to adopt, amend, or
			act in arbitrary and capricious manner; rejection subject to	reject recommendations
			judicial review	
Cap on growth	100 schools total	No cap	50 schools per year	No cap
Enrollment	65 unless waiver for	No minimum	50 unless waiver for	65 unless waiver for
minimums	compelling reason		compelling reason	compelling reason
Enrollment growth	What is provided in	No limits	What is provided in approved	What is provided in approved
	approved charter		charter application or up to	charter application; additional
	application or up to		20%; over 20% requires State	requested growth shall be
	10%; over 10%		Board approval	allowed unless one of listed
	requires State Board			criteria is found to exist
	approval			

Cur	rrent Law	Senate Bill 8 -	Senate Bill 8-	House Bill 247
Funds of the school district that are excluded from the local current expense cost appropriation (and not shared with the charter school)  Note: Current law - unchanged by any bill - provides that charter schools receive an amount equal to per pupil allocation from the State to the school district (excluding funds for identified students with disabilities or limited English proficiency in school district and adding allocation for	Reimbursements to e school district, cluding indirect sts, 2. fees for actual sts, 3. tuition, 4. les tax revenues-ad lorem method, 5. les tax refunds to e school district, 6. its and grants stricted as to use to e school district 7. lest funds, 8. federal propriations made rectly to school stricts, 9. funds ceived for ekindergarten ograms and 10. lecial programs; 11. propriation or use fund balance or 12. terest income	Senate Bill 8 – Senate Version (2/24/11) Changes to current law: 1.Reimbursements to the school district, including indirect costs, 2. fees for actual costs, 3. tuition, 4. sales tax revenues ad valorem method, 5. sales tax refunds to the school district, 6. gifts and grants restricted as to use to the school district 7. trust funds if necessary to comply with donor/grantor requirement and federal grants restricted as to use, 8. federal appropriations made directly to school districts, 9. funds received for prekindergarten programs and 10. special programs; 11. appropriation or use of fund balance or 12. interest income	Senate Bill 8- House Version (4/7/11) Changes to current law: 1. Reimbursements to the school district, including indirect costs, 2. fees for actual costs, 3. tuition, 4. sales tax revenues-ad valorem method, 5. sales tax refunds to the school district, 6. gifts and grants restricted as to use to the school district 7. trust funds, 8. federal appropriations made directly to school districts, 9. funds received for prekindergarten programs and 10. special programs; 11. appropriation or use of fund balance or 12. interest income  Specifically excludes special funds of individual schools  Limits transfer of supplemental taxes to a charter school only if student resides in the tax district in which fines are levied and the charter school is located in the same county as the supplemental tax district.	Same as current law (Other proposals made on supplemental sales tax)

	Current Law	Senate Bill 8 - Senate Version (2/24/11)	Senate Bill 8- House Version (4/7/11)	House Bill 247
Charter school access to capital funds	None	Can use State funds for specified equipment, capital expenses; Counties may provided funds for specified equipment, capital expenses included in the capital outlay fund; Counties may allocate portion of lottery proceeds for school construction projects	Can use State funds for any equipment or other capital expense identified in the capital outlay fund; counties may provide funds that can be used for any purpose in the capital outlay fund but must do so equitably among all charter schools that request funds; Counties may allocate portion of lottery proceeds for school construction projects	None
Virtual charter schools	Not specifically addressed: a virtual school applicant could apply	Same as current law	Sets standards for virtual charter school applicant of having physical facility and chief administrator in state and responsibilities of teachers for learning and reporting outcomes	Prohibits virtual charter schools
Transportation	Not required  Charter schools receive the per pupil allocation for operational expenses; they do not receive funds for replacement buses	Charter application is to include the school's plan, if any, for providing transportation	Requires charter schools approved after July 1, 2011, to provide transportation within 3 miles to students who are within 185% of poverty level	Withholds transportation allotment unless the charter school provides transportation to students within 185% of poverty level; requires a transportation plan so that transportation is not a barrier to these students (Other proposals have more specifically identified providing transportation within 5 miles in urban areas and 10 miles in rural areas)

С	Current Law	Senate Bill 8 -	Senate Bill 8-	House Bill 247
who meet eligibility requirements for free/reduced price lunch	Shall not discriminate; except as otherwise provided by law or the mission of the school in the charter, shall not limit admission to students on the basis of intellectual ability, measures of achievement or aptitude, athletic ability, race, creed, gender, national prigin, religion, or ancestry. Within one year, shall reasonably reflect the racial and ethnic composition of the general population where located or the special population the school seeks to serve	Charter application is to include the school's plan, if any for providing food services  Adds to current law the following: Application must include the school's plan for identifying and successfully serving students with disabilities, students who are English language learners, academically at-risk students, and academically gifted students; the nondiscrimination provisions do not preclude a charter school focused on serving targeted populations, including students with disabilities or of the same gender	For charter schools approved after July 1, 2011, shall provide food services to students within 185% of poverty level  Same as Senate version of SB 8 except the following is added: Application must include the targeted student population and evidence of need and community support for proposal	Shall provide free and reduced priced meals to students within 185% of poverty level unless extraordinary financial hardship  Modifies existing law as follows (law is paraphrased – not full wording): except as otherwise provided by law or the mission of the school in the charter, shall not limit admission to students on the basis of intellectual ability, measures of achievement or aptitude, athletic ability, race, creed, gender, national origin, religion, or ancestry. Except as otherwise provided by the mission of the school as set out in the charter, the school shall not limit admission to students on the basis of intellectual ability or measures of achievement or aptitude. Within one year, shall reasonably reflect the racial and ethnic composition of the general population where located or the special population the school seeks to serve.  Includes all of the additions in the Senate version and House version of SB 8

	Current Law	Senate Bill 8 -	Senate Bill 8-	House Bill 247
		Senate Version (2/24/11)	House Version (4/7/11)	
Measures to achieve diversity				Also includes the following: Application must include a marketing plan designed to achieve a diverse student body; Additional grounds for revocation or nonrenewal is to failure to make a good fair effort to achieve academic diversity, geographic distribution, community needs or remove economic barriers to attending the school (Other proposals include a weighted lottery, priority consideration to charter school applicants planning to serve students who are at-risk, and consideration of geographic distribution of charter schools)
Test scores for charter school students who return to public schools	No special provisions; general rules regarding testing apply	Same as current law	Same as current law	Test scores are included in the charter school's composite for any student who transfers from a chart school to traditional public school in 45 days of EOG or EOC tests
Causes for revocation or nonrenewal of charters related to student performance	Failure to meet student performance standards contained in the charter  (State board policy provides that the State Board shall revoke	Same as current law	Adds: State Board, upon recommendation of Commission, shall adopt criteria for adequate performance and identify charter schools with inadequate performance; a	Adds: State Board shall terminate or not renew if charter school fails to meet or exceed expected growth and has a performance composite below 60% for two years in any three-year period;

Current Law	Senate Bill 8 -	Senate Bill 8-	House Bill 247
	Senate Version (2/24/11)	House Version (4/7/11)	
when the charter does		charter school with no growth	State Board may terminate if
not meet or exceed		and annual composites below	fails to meet or exceed
expected growth and		60% shall be deemed	expected growth and has
has a performance		inadequate; an inadequate	performance composite below
composite below 60%		school must develop school	70% for two years in any 3-
for two of three		improvement plan – failure to	year period
consecutive years)		demonstrate improvement is	
		cause for revocation or	
		nonrenewal	

Ann McColl State Board of Education Legislative Director April 8, 2011